

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,627	10/09/2001	Joseph E. Devlin	P00737-US1	6264
3017 7	590 06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD.			MARCELO, MELVIN C	
5TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903			2662	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- 4	
	_	
	•	
Ų.	,	
r		
н		

Application No. Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
09/973,627 DEVLIN, JOS	SEPH E.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
Melvin Marcelo 2662						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence Period for Reply	e address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 October 2001</u> .	•					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as t	o the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the E	· •xaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	(PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/973,627

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - On page 13, line 13, the reference to "FIGURE 7" should be --FIGURE 8--.
  - On page 14, line 9, the reference to "FIGURE 7" should be --FIGURE 8--.
  - Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
  - (1) The specification does not describe the upper and lower thresholds recited in claim 5.
  - (2) The specification does not describe the upper threshold based on the noise levels recited in claim 6.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 is incomplete. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 5 is directed to upper and lower thresholds. However, the specification only describes a single threshold (page 13, lines 11-14).

Art Unit: 2662

Claim 6 is directed to the upper threshold based on noise levels. It is not clear what is meant by this limitation since the specification does not describe this feature.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-4 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the particular method of determining the connectivity of switches in a computer network.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-3125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2662